

الجمهورية الجزائرية الديمقراطية الشعبية
People's Democratic Republic of Algeria
وزارة التعليم العالي والبحث العلمي
Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research



ABDELHAFID BOUSSOUF UNIVERSITY NI CENTER MILA

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Department of Mathematics

Algebr A 1

BOUOUDEN RABAH

(First academic year course (LMD))

Academic Year: 2024/2025

Table of Contents

Introduction	3
1 Logic concepts	5
1.1 Propositions (Statements)	5
1.2 The Propositional Calculus	7
1.2.1 Properties	10
1.3 Mathematical quantifiers	11
1.3.1 The rules of negation for a quantified proposition	13
1.4 Proof methods	14
1.4.1 Universal Proofs	14
1.4.2 Existential Proofs	14
1.4.3 Direct reasoning	15
1.4.4 Reasoning by contraposition	15
1.4.5 Proof by Cases	16
1.4.6 Counterexamples	17
1.4.7 Proof by Contradiction:	18
1.4.8 Proof by Induction	18
1.5 Exercices	21
1.6 Exercise Solutions	23
2 Sets, relations and functions	30
2.1 Sets	30
2.1.1 Definitions	30
2.1.2 Operations on sets	32
2.2 Relations	36
2.2.1 Definitions	36
2.2.2 Properties of a Binary Relation on a Set	38
2.2.3 Equivalence Relation	40
2.2.4 Order Relation	41
2.3 Functions and mappings.	43
2.3.1 Functions.	43
2.3.2 Mapping	45
2.3.3 Injection, surjection, bijection	46
2.4 Exercices	49
2.5 Exercise Solutions	53

3	Algebraic Structures	69
3.1	Law of internal composition	69
3.2	Groups	71
3.2.1	Group Structure	71
3.2.2	Subgroups	72
3.2.3	Examples of Groups	74
3.2.3.1	The Group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$	74
3.2.3.2	Group of Permutations	75
3.2.4	Group Homomorphisms	75
3.3	Ring Structure	77
3.3.1	Subrings	79
3.3.2	Ring Homomorphisms	80
3.3.3	Ideals in a Commutative Ring	80
3.4	Field Structure	81
3.5	Exercises	83
3.6	Exercise Solutions	85
4	Rings of Polynomials	104
4.1	Definitions	104
4.2	Polynomial Arithmetic	108
4.2.1	Associated Polynomials	108
4.2.2	Division	109
4.2.3	Euclidean Division	109
4.2.4	Irreducible Polynomials	110
4.2.5	Greatest Common Divisor	111
4.2.6	Factorization	112
4.3	Polynomial Functions	112
4.4	Exercises	114
4.5	Exercise Solutions	116
	Conclusion	121
	Bibliography	122

Introduction

This booklet is specifically designed for first-year LMD students in Mathematics and Computer Science, with the goal of providing a solid foundation in fundamental mathematical concepts. It covers several key topics that are essential for understanding mathematical structures and their applications across various branches of the exact sciences.

Chapter 1 introduces the basic concepts of mathematical logic, a fundamental area that helps in formulating precise statements and developing rigorous proofs. This chapter equips students with the logical reasoning skills necessary for their future studies in mathematics.

Chapter 2 is entirely dedicated to the study of sets, relations, and functions. These are foundational concepts in mathematics, and the chapter emphasizes set theory, the notion of relations, and how functions and mappings are defined and analyzed. It provides practical tools for solving complex problems in mathematics.

In Chapter 3, we delve into algebraic structures, focusing particularly on groups, rings, and fields. These concepts are at the heart of modern algebra and are essential for understanding the various ways in which elements can be combined or manipulated within a given system.

Finally, Chapter 4 is devoted to the study of polynomial rings, a key area of algebra. Polynomial rings are fundamental objects in many areas of mathematics and computer science, and this chapter provides a detailed analysis of their properties, operations, and applications.

We hope that this booklet will meet the expectations of the students, providing them with a clear and thorough understanding of the topics covered. It is designed not only to provide the necessary knowledge but also to stimulate critical thinking and the application of concepts to real-world situations.

At the end of the booklet, we have included a selection of bibliographic references for students who wish to further explore the topics and deepen their understanding of the material presented in this document.

Chapter 1

Logic concepts

In this chapter, we will limit ourselves to the introduction of the first elements of classical logic, laying the groundwork for further exploration into this foundational discipline. Logic, as we define it, is the study of arguments, a process of reasoning in which we draw conclusions from premises. At its core, logic seeks to provide a structured framework for distinguishing valid from invalid reasoning. In other words, logic attempts to codify what counts as legitimate means by which to draw conclusions from given information. It establishes rules and principles that help us evaluate the soundness of an argument, ensuring that conclusions follow logically from their premises. By doing so, logic serves as a critical tool in various fields, from philosophy and mathematics to everyday decision-making, offering a method to assess the strength and validity of different forms of reasoning.

This expanded version adds more context to what logic is, why it is important, and its application in different areas.

1.1 Propositions (Statements)

To study arguments, one must first study sentences, as they are the essential components of arguments

Definition 1.1.1.

A sentence that is either true or false is called a proposition.

P
1
0

TABLE 1.1: Truth table of a proposition P

However, not all sentences are propositions. Questions, exclamations, commands, and self-contradictory sentences, like the following examples, cannot be asserted or denied.

Example 1.1.1.

1. *Is mathematics logic?*
2. *Hey there!*
3. *Do not panic.*
4. *This sentence is false.*

Statements are denoted by capital letters $P, Q, R, \dots$

If the proposition is true, we assign it the value 1 (or T); if it is false, we assign it the value 0 (or F).

There are two types of propositions.

◆ An atom is a proposition that is not comprised of other propositions.

Example 1.1.2.

1. *"Algiers is the capital of Algeria" is a true proposition.*
2. *"16 is a multiple of 2" is a true proposition.*
3. *"19 is a multiple of 2" is a false proposition.*

◆ A proposition that is not atomic but is constructed using other propositions is called a compound proposition.

1.2 The Propositional Calculus

Propositions may be combined in various ways to form more complicated proposition. There are five types.

Definition 1.2.1. (*A negation*)

A negation of a given proposition P is a proposition $\bar{P}$ ($\text{Not}P$) such that when P is true, $\bar{P}$ is false; when P is false, $\bar{P}$ is true.

Example 1.2.1.

1. The negation of $3 + 8 = 5$ is $3 + 8 \neq 5$. In this case, we say that $3 + 8 = 5$ has been negated.
2. Negating the proposition "**the sine function is periodic**" yields "**the sine function is not periodic.**"
3. The negation of "The number 5 is even" is "The number 5 is not even".

For the proposition $\bar{P}$, we obtain the following truth table (1.2)

P	$\bar{P}$
1	0
0	1

TABLE 1.2: Truth table of the proposition $\bar{P}$

Definition 1.2.2. (*A conjunction*)

A conjunction is a proposition formed by combining two propositions (called conjuncts) using the word 'and.' The conjunction of sentences A and B will be designated by A and B ($A \wedge B$) and has the following truth table:

$A \wedge B$ is true if and only if both A and B are true.

$A \wedge B$ are called the conjuncts of A and B .

Example 1.2.2.

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$
1	1	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
0	0	0

TABLE 1.3: Truth table of the statement $P \wedge Q$

1. *Let:*

P_1 : *It is sunny today.*

P_2 : *The temperature is warm.*

The conjunction of these propositions is:

$P_1 \wedge P_2$: *It is sunny today and the temperature is warm.*

2. *Let:*

Q_1 : *The car is red.*

Q_2 : *The car has alloy wheels.*

The conjunction of these propositions is:

$Q_1 \wedge Q_2$: *The car is red and it has alloy wheels.*

In natural languages, there are two distinct uses of "or": the inclusive and the exclusive. According to the inclusive usage, A **or** B means "either A , or B , or both". In contrast, according to the exclusive usage, the meaning is "either A or B , but not both". To represent the inclusive connective, we will introduce a special symbol, $\vee$.

Definition 1.2.3. (*A disjunction*)

A disjunction is a proposition formed by combining two propositions (called disjuncts) using the word or. $A \vee B$ is false if and only if both A and B are false. $A \vee B$ called a disjunction, with the disjuncts A and B . The truth table of $A \vee B$ is as follows:

Example 1.2.3.

A	B	$A \vee B$
1	1	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
0	0	0

TABLE 1.4: Truth table of the proposition $A \vee B$

1. Let:

P_1 : It is raining.

P_2 : It is windy.

The disjunction of these propositions is:

$P_1 \vee P_2$: It is raining or it is windy.

2. Let:

Q_1 : The temperature is above 25 C.

Q_2 : The humidity level is high.

The disjunction of these propositions is:

$Q_1 \vee Q_2$: The temperature is above 25 C or the humidity level is high.

3. « 10 is divisible by 2 » is a true statement. « 10 is divisible by 3 » is a false statement. So, $P \wedge Q$ is a false statement. On the other hand, $P \vee Q$ is true.

A statement of the form "If P , then Q " is called a conditional statement. The statement " P " is called the antecedent or the hypothesis, and " Q " is called the consequent or the conclusion. If P , then Q is also expressed by saying that Q is a necessary condition for P . An other way to express it is to say that P is a sufficient condition for Q .

Definition 1.2.4. (Implication, Equivalence)

Let P and Q be two statements.

1. A statement of the form $P \Rightarrow Q$ (read as " P implies Q ") is called an implication. The statement $P \Rightarrow Q$ is logically equivalent to the statement "If

P , then Q ". The statement " P " is called the antecedent or hypothesis, and " Q " is called the consequent or conclusion. Mathematically, the truth table for $P \Rightarrow Q$ is as in table 1.5.

P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$
1	1	1
1	0	0
0	1	1
0	0	1

TABLE 1.5: Truth table of the statement $P \Rightarrow Q$

2. A statement of the form P if and only if Q (abbreviated as $P \iff Q$) is called an equivalence. The statement $P \iff Q$ is logically equivalent to the conjunction of $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $Q \Rightarrow P$, which means that P implies Q and Q implies P . The statement $P \iff Q$ is true when both P and Q are either both true or both false, and false in all other cases. Mathematically, the truth table for $P \iff Q$ is as in table 1.6.

P	Q	$P \iff Q$
1	1	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
0	0	1

TABLE 1.6: Truth table of the statement $P \iff Q$

Remark 1.2.1.

1. In practice, if P , Q , and R denote three statements, then the composite statement $(P \Rightarrow Q \text{ and } Q \Rightarrow R)$ is written as: $(P \Rightarrow Q \Rightarrow R)$.

Similarly, the composite statement $(P \iff Q \text{ and } Q \iff R)$ is written as: $(P \iff Q \iff R)$.

2. The implication $Q \Rightarrow P$ is called the converse of $P \Rightarrow Q$.

1.2.1 Properties

Definition 1.2.5.

Let P and Q be two propositions (either composite or simple).

1. If P is true when Q is true, and if P is false when Q is false, then we say that P and Q have the same truth table or that they are logically equivalent, and we denote this by $P \Leftrightarrow Q$.

2. In the opposite case, we denote this by $P \nLeftrightarrow Q$.

Example 1.2.4.

Let P , Q , and R be three statements, then:

1. $\bar{\bar{P}} \Leftrightarrow P$.
2. $(P \wedge P) \Leftrightarrow P$. Similarly, $(P \vee P) \Leftrightarrow P$.
3. $(P \wedge Q) \Leftrightarrow (Q \wedge P)$, $(P \vee Q) \Leftrightarrow (Q \vee P)$.
4. $(P \wedge Q) \wedge R \Leftrightarrow P \wedge (Q \wedge R)$, $(P \vee Q) \vee R \Leftrightarrow P \vee (Q \vee R)$.
5. $(P \wedge (Q \vee P)) \Leftrightarrow P$.
6. $(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (Q \Leftrightarrow P)$.
7. $P \wedge (Q \vee R) \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$, $P \vee (Q \wedge R) \Leftrightarrow (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$.
8. $\overline{P \wedge Q} \Leftrightarrow \bar{P} \vee \bar{Q}$, $\overline{P \vee Q} \Leftrightarrow \bar{P} \wedge \bar{Q}$.
9. The compound proposition $((P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow R)) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow R)$ is true regardless of the truth values of P , Q and R .
10. The compound proposition $((P \Leftrightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Leftrightarrow R)) \Rightarrow (P \Leftrightarrow R)$ is true regardless of the truth values of P , Q and R .
11. $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (\bar{P} \vee Q)$.
12. $\overline{(P \Rightarrow Q)} \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge \bar{Q})$.
13. $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (\bar{Q} \Rightarrow \bar{P})$.
14. $(P \Leftrightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow ((P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P))$.

1.3 Mathematical quantifiers

Definition 1.3.1.

Let E be a set. A predicate on E is a statement containing variables such that when each of these variables is replaced by an element of E , we obtain a proposition.

A predicate containing the variable x will be denoted by $P(x)$.

Example 1.3.1.

The statement $P(n)$ defined by " n is a multiple of 2" is a predicate on $\mathbb{N}$. It becomes a proposition when an integer value is assigned to n . For example,

1. The proposition $P(10)$ defined by " 10 is a multiple of 2" obtained by replacing n with 10, is true;
2. The proposition $P(11)$ defined by " 11 is a multiple of 2" obtained by replacing n with 11, is false.

From a predicate $P(x)$ defined on a set E , we can construct new propositions called quantified propositions using the quantifiers "there exists ($\exists$)" and "for all ($\forall$)".

Definition 1.3.2.

Let $P(x)$ be a predicate defined on a set E .

1. (**Universal quantifier**) The quantifier "for all" (also called "for every"), denoted by $\forall$, allows us to define the quantified proposition " $\forall x \in E, P(x)$ " which is true when all elements x of E satisfy $P(x)$.
2. (**Existential quantifier**) The quantifier "there exists," denoted by $\exists$, allows us to define the quantified proposition " $\exists x \in E, P(x)$ " which is true when there exists (at least) one element x belonging to E that satisfies the statement $P(x)$.

Example 1.3.2.

1. The statement " $x^2 + 2x - 3 \leq 0$ " is a predicate defined on $\mathbb{R}$. It can be true or false depending on the value of x .

The statement " $\forall x \in [-3, 1], x^2 + 2x - 3 \leq 0$ " is a quantified proposition. It is true because the quantity $x^2 + 2x - 3$ is negative or zero for every x belonging to the closed interval $[-3, 1]$.

2. The quantified proposition " $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, (n - 3)n > 0$ " is false because there exists an element n in $\mathbb{N}$ (taking $n = 0$, $n = 1$, $n = 2$, or $n = 3$) for which the statement " $(n - 3)n > 0$ " is false.

3. The quantified proposition " $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 = 4$ " is true because there exists (at least) one element in $\mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $x^2 = 4$. This is the case for the two real numbers -2 and 2 .

1.3.1 The rules of negation for a quantified proposition

1. The negation of «for every element x in E , the statement $P(x)$ is true» is «there exists an element x in E for which the statement $P(x)$ is false.»

$$\overline{(\forall x \in E, P(x))} \Leftrightarrow (\exists x \in E, \overline{P(x)})$$

.

2. The negation of «there exists an element x in E such that the statement $P(x)$ is true» is «for every element x in E , the statement $P(x)$ is false.»

$$\overline{(\exists x \in E, P(x))} \Leftrightarrow (\forall x \in E, \overline{P(x)})$$

.

Here are some examples:

Example 1.3.3.

1. The negation of $(\forall x \in [0, +\infty[, (x^2 \geq 1))$ is $(\exists x \in [0, +\infty[, (x^2 < 1))$.
2. The negation of $(\exists z \in \mathbb{C}, z^2 + z + 1 = 0)$ is $(\forall z \in \mathbb{C}, z^2 + z + 1 \neq 0)$.
3. It is not more difficult to write the negation of complex sentences. For the proposition:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \exists y > 0 \quad (x + y > 10),$$

its negation

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall y > 0 \quad (x + y \leq 10).$$

Remark 1.3.1.

The order of quantifiers is very important. For example, the two logical sentences

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exists y > 0 \quad (x + y > 10) \text{ and } \exists y > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (x + y > 10)$$

are different. The first one is true, while the second one is false.

1.4 Proof methods

The purpose of the propositional logic of Chapter 1 is to model the basic reasoning that one does in mathematics. Here are some classical methods of reasoning.

1.4.1 Universal Proofs

Our first paragraph proofs will be for propositions with universal quantifiers. To prove $\forall x \in E, P(x)$ (interpreted to mean that $P(x)$ holds for all x from a given universe E) from a given set of premises, we show that every object x satisfies $P(x)$ assuming those premises. For this we follow the following diagram

Let a be an object in the universe $E \longrightarrow$ Prove $P(a)$.

Example 1.4.1. *To prove that for all real numbers x ,*

$$(x - 1)^3 = x^3 - 3x^2 + 3x - 1$$

we introduce a real number and then check the equation.

Proof 1.4.1. *Let a be a real number. Then,*

$$(a - 1)^3 = (a - 1)(a - 1)^2 = (a - 1)(a^2 - 2a + 1) = a^3 - 3a^2 + 3a - 1.$$

1.4.2 Existential Proofs

Suppose that we want to write a paragraph proof for $\exists x \in E, P(x)$. This means that we must show that there exists at least one object of the universe E that satisfies the formula $P(x)$. It will be our job to find that object. To do this directly, we pick an object that we think will satisfy $P(x)$. This object is called a candidate. We then check that it does satisfy $P(x)$. This type of a proof is called a direct existential proof, and its structure is illustrated as follows:

Choose a candidate from the universe. $\longrightarrow$ Check that the candidate satisfies $P(x)$.

1.4.3 Direct reasoning

We want to show that the proposition ' $P \Rightarrow Q$ ' is true. We assume that P is true and then demonstrate that Q is true as well by following these steps:

1. assume the antecedent,
2. translate the antecedent,
3. translate the consequent so that the goal of the proof is known,
4. deduce the consequent.

Example 1.4.2.

We use Direct Proof to write a paragraph proof of the proposition

for all integers x , if 4 divides x , then x is even.

Proof 1.4.1.

Assume that 4 divides the integer a . This means $a = 4k$ for some integer k . We must show that $a = 2l$ for some integer l , but we know that $a = 4k = 2.(2k)$. Hence, let $l = 2k$.

1.4.4 Reasoning by contraposition

Sometimes it is difficult to prove a conditional directly. An alternative is to prove the contrapositive. This is sometimes easier or simply requires fewer lines.

Contrapositive reasoning is based on the following equivalence :

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (\overline{Q} \Rightarrow \overline{P}). \quad (1.1)$$

Therefore, if we want to show the proposition $P \Rightarrow Q$, we actually demonstrate that if $\overline{Q}$ is true, then $\overline{P}$ is true.

Example 1.4.3.

Let us show that

for all integers n , if n^2 is odd, then n is odd.

A direct proof of this is a problem. Instead, we prove its contrapositive,

if n is even, then n^2 is even.

Proof 1.4.2.

Let n be an even integer. This means that $n = 2k$ for some integer k . To see that n^2 is even, calculate to find $n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2 \cdot (2k^2)$.

1.4.5 Proof by Cases

Suppose that we want to prove $P \Rightarrow Q$ and this is difficult for some reason. We notice, however, that P can be broken into cases. Namely, there exist $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$ such that $P \Leftrightarrow P_1 \vee P_2 \vee \dots \vee P_n$.

If we can prove $P_i \Rightarrow Q$ for each i , we have proved $P \Rightarrow Q$.

Example 1.4.4.

Prove that for any integer n , the number $n^3 - n$ is even.

Proof 1.4.3.

We will prove this statement by considering two cases: one where n is even and one where n is odd.

Case 1: n is even

If n is even, then by definition, $n = 2k$ for some integer k . Therefore:

$$n^3 - n = (2k)^3 - 2k = 8k^3 - 2k = 2(4k^3 - k).$$

Since $2(4k^3 - k)$ is divisible by 2, $n^3 - n$ is an even number in this case.

Case 2: n is odd

If n is odd, then by definition, $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k . Therefore:

$$n^3 - n = (2k + 1)^3 - (2k + 1).$$

First, expand $(2k + 1)^3$:

$$(2k + 1)^3 = 8k^3 + 12k^2 + 6k + 1.$$

Now calculate:

$$n^3 - n = 8k^3 + 12k^2 + 6k + 1 - (2k + 1) = 8k^3 + 12k^2 + 4k.$$

We notice that $8k^3 + 12k^2 + 4k$ is divisible by 2, and hence it is even. Therefore, in this case, $n^3 - n$ is also even.

Since we have shown that $n^3 - n$ is even in both cases, we conclude that $n^3 - n$ is always even for any integer n .

1.4.6 Counterexamples

To show that an proposition of the type $\forall x \in E \ P(x)$ is true, one must demonstrate that $P(x)$ is true for each x in E .

On the other hand, to show that this proposition is false, it is enough to find an $a \in E$ such that $P(a)$ is false (i.e., $\overline{P(a)}$ is true). (Remember, the negation of $\forall x \in E, \ P(x)$ is $\exists x \in E, \ \overline{P(x)}$).

To find such an a is to find a counterexample to the proposition $\forall x \in E \ P(x)$.

Example 1.4.5.

Prove that the statement "All prime numbers are odd" is false.

Proof 1.4.4. *The statement "All prime numbers are odd" is false. A counterexample to this statement is the number 2, which is both a prime number and an even number.*

- The number 2 is prime because it is only divisible by 1 and itself. - Since 2 is even, it contradicts the statement that all prime numbers are odd.

Thus, the statement "All prime numbers are odd" is false, as the number 2 serves as a counterexample.

1.4.7 Proof by Contradiction:

Proof by contradiction, also known as reasoning by the absurd, involves demonstrating the truth of a proposition by showing that its opposite leads to a contradiction. To use this type of reasoning, follow these steps:

1. **Assume the negation:** Suppose that the proposition you want to prove is false.
2. **Develop the consequences:** Develop the logical consequences of this assumption.
3. **Identify the contradiction:** Show that these consequences lead to a contradiction.
4. **Conclusion:** Conclude that the initial assumption must be false, which means that the proposition you want to prove is true.

Example 1.4.6.

Prove that :

for all integers n , if n^2 is odd, then n is odd.

Proof 1.4.5.

Take an integer n and let n^2 be odd. In order to obtain a contradiction, assume that n is even. So, $n = 2k$ for some integer k . Substituting, we have $n^2 = (2k)^2 = 2(2k^2)$, showing that n^2 is even. This is a contradiction. Therefore, n is an odd integer.

1.4.8 Proof by Induction

Proof by induction is a method used in mathematics to prove that a property $P(n)$ holds for all integers n starting from a certain initial value n_0 . It consists of two steps:

1. **Base Case:** Verify that the property $P(n)$ is true for the initial value $n = n_0$.
2. **Inductive Step:** Assume that the property is true for a certain integer k (inductive hypothesis), and then prove that the property is true for $k + 1$.

Example 1.4.7.

Prove that the sum of the first n positive integers is given by the formula:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

1. **Step 1: Base Case**

First, we need to verify the formula for the initial value $n = 1$.

When $n = 1$:

$$1 = \frac{1(1+1)}{2} = \frac{1 \cdot 2}{2} = 1$$

So, the formula holds for $n = 1$.

2. **Step 2: Inductive Step**

Next, we assume that the formula holds for some integer k . That is, we assume:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + k = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$$

This assumption is called the inductive hypothesis.

We need to show that if the formula holds for $n = k$, then it also holds for $n = k + 1$.

Consider the sum of the first $k + 1$ positive integers:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + k + (k + 1)$$

Using the inductive hypothesis, we can write:

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + k + (k + 1) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k + 1)$$

We need to simplify the right-hand side:

$$\frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k + 1)$$

Factor $k + 1$ out of the terms on the right:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k + 1) \\ &= \frac{k(k+1) + 2(k+1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So we have shown that if the formula holds for $n = k$, it also holds for $n = k + 1$.

3. Conclusion

By the principle of mathematical induction, the formula

$1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ is true for all positive integers n .