

CHAPTER 6 : Rapid Detection Techniques

1. Introduction

1.1 Definition

Rapid microbiological detection techniques are modern methods that allow the identification or quantification of microorganisms quickly without relying on traditional long-term culture methods.

1.2 Purpose

These techniques are used to accelerate results in the food, medical, and pharmaceutical sectors, to detect pathogenic microorganisms before they cause harm, and to monitor hygiene in sensitive environments.

2. Main Rapid Techniques

2.1 Molecular Techniques

2.1.1 PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction)

Principle

PCR amplifies a specific DNA sequence of the target microorganism. The presence of a band on a gel or a fluorescent signal indicates the microorganism is present.

Advantages

PCR is highly specific and sensitive and can detect pathogens that are difficult to culture. Results are available in approximately four hours.

Limitations

Requires specialized equipment and trained personnel. It also detects DNA from dead cells.

Applications

Detection of Salmonella, Listeria, and E. coli O157 in food.

2.1.2 qPCR (Quantitative Real-Time PCR)

Principle

qPCR measures DNA amplification in real time using fluorescence, allowing estimation of the initial number of microorganisms.

Advantages

Provides quantitative data, highly sensitive, and rapid.

Limitations

High cost and requires careful calibration. Does not distinguish between DNA from live and dead cells.

Applications

Estimation of bacterial load in pasteurized milk and fermented products.

2.2 Immunological Techniques**2.2.1 ELISA (Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay)****Principle**

ELISA uses antibodies to capture a specific antigen. If the antigen is present, an enzymatic reaction produces a color change.

Advantages

Simple, often automated, and provides quantitative results in two to three hours.

Limitations

Less sensitive than PCR, may have cross-reactivity, and depends on the quality of antibodies.

Applications

Detection and quantification of bacterial toxins such as *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins in food.

2.2.2 Agglutination Tests**Principle**

Antibodies bind to microbial antigens forming visible clumps.

Advantages

Very rapid (less than ten minutes) and simple for initial screening.

Limitations

Lower sensitivity and specificity compared to ELISA. Provides only qualitative results.

Applications

Rapid identification of *Salmonella* in foods and *Streptococcus* in clinical throat swabs.

2.3 ATP Detection (Bioluminescence)**Principle**

Measures light produced by the reaction of microbial ATP with the enzyme luciferase. The intensity of light correlates with the total microbial activity.

Advantages

Very rapid (1–5 minutes), simple, and suitable for hygiene monitoring.

Limitations

Does not distinguish between living and dead cells. Cannot identify microbial species or toxins.

Applications

Rapid assessment of surface cleanliness in food processing facilities and medical environments.

3. Comparative Table

Technique	Measures	Quantitative	Detects Microbe	Detects Toxin	Speed	Accuracy
PCR	DNA	No	Yes	No	4–6 h	High
qPCR	DNA	Approx.	Yes	No	3–4 h	Very High
ELISA	Antigen / Toxin	Yes	No	Yes	2–3 h	High
Agglutination	Antigen / Microbe	No	Yes	No	<10 min	Medium
ATP Bioluminescence	ATP total	Approx.	No	No	1–5 min	Medium

4. Conclusion

Rapid detection techniques provide faster and often quantitative results compared to traditional culture methods. Each method has its own advantages and limitations, and the choice depends on the target (microbe, toxin, or overall microbial load), speed requirements, and available equipment

References

- Burton, J. L., & Hood, S. K. (2017). *Rapid detection methods for foodborne pathogens*. Elsevier.
- Fratamico, P. M., Bagi, L. K., & Bhunia, A. K. (2016). *Molecular and immunological methods for detection of foodborne pathogens*. CRC Press.
- Law, J. W.-F., Ab Mutalib, N.-S., Chan, K.-G., & Lee, L.-H. (2015). Rapid methods for the detection of foodborne bacterial pathogens: Principles, applications, advantages and limitations. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5, 770. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00770>
- Lantz, P. G., & Ledebor, N. A. (2015). Real-time PCR and rapid molecular detection in clinical microbiology. *Clinical Laboratory Medicine*, 35(2), 343–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cll.2015.01.001>
- Velusamy, V., Arshak, K., Korostynska, O., Oliwa, K., & Adley, C. (2010). An overview of foodborne pathogen detection: In the perspective of biosensors. *Biotechnology Advances*, 28(2), 232–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.10.004>
- McMullen, L. M., & Gilmour, A. (2008). Rapid methods for the detection of bacterial pathogens in foods: A review. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 75(1), 25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2008.05.004>

3rd year Biotechnology and Health

7. Oliveira, M., & Prado, A. (2016). ATP bioluminescence for hygiene monitoring in food industry. *Food Control*, 68, 108–115.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.03.015>
8. Crowther, J. R. (2000). *The ELISA guidebook* (1st ed.). Humana Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1385/1592590497>